Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/674,647	CHOE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MARIANNE L. PADGETT	1792
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>RCE</u>	
(1) MARIANNE L. PADGETT.	(3)	
(2) <u>Harry Hild</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 December 2009</u>	Time: About 4 p.m.	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Problem noted in advisory		
Claims discussed: 31 & 36		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Agreed to delete by examiner's amendment "at least" in claims		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation 	he examiner will provide a writ e record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
/Marianne L. Padgett/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792	(Applicant/Applicant's Representa	ative Signature – if appropriate)